

AMONG ALL the scripts, current or extinct, Egyptian hieroglyphs exert a mysterious charm like the Meso-American scripts. The characters look vaguely familiar, but unfathomable. On the other hand the Chinese script is enigmatic and inscrutable, epithets often used for the Chinese people themselves. But there is some commonalty between Chinese and Hieroglyphs; in both writing fuses with art. In the monumental writing in the pyramids we witness this. The skilful integration with the objects they adorn is this special feature of Egyptian hieroglyphic writing.

We may start with the puzzle: how did Egyptian hieroglyphic writing originate? Why this question at all? This is because we find the hieroglyphs suddenly appear by 3100 BC virtually fully developed, and does not appear to have developed over centuries. (The period before 3100 BC is called pre-dynastic period.) Scripts tend to change over the period. But Hieroglyphs were in use for over three thousand years without change. It is quite surprising. Is it because hieroglyphs were considered the language of the gods ('the God's Words')?

What about Sumeria influencing Egypt? Sumeria is close by and had developed a script a few centuries before, 3300 BC, to be specific. May be the idea of writing diffused into Egypt. However, many researchers feel, the Egyptian might have stumbled on phonetic principle independently. This is because there are differences between early Egyptian and Sumerian pictograms.

The original script, called 'hieroglyphic, gave rise to two cursive scripts. The first was 'hieratic' almost from the time of 'invention' of hieroglyphs. The second 'demotic' came into use from about 650 BC. The latter became the vehicle for common use, pushing hieratic only for the use of the priestly class.

Now let us consider the script proper. Most hieroglyphic signs are consonants. Vowels were taken foe granted. Today we seem to have deciphered, but have no clue about the pronunciation. A scripts consisting of consonants only? The confusion does not stop here, for some signs may represent more then one consonant; there are bi-consonants and even tri-consonants! To confound the same symbol could be mono- or multi-consonants. You will appreciate this when you go through my presentation.

From hind-sight we may wonder, why they made the script more complicated than required. This can happen to natural languages. The unnecessary baggage acquired over centuries cannot be thrown overboard. Even in English we still continue with 'night', right etc, while 'gh' became silent quite some ago. (In German these are 'nacht' and 'richt' respectively and 'ch' is pronounced.) And for the same reason we have not replaced 'thru' for 'through'.

I mentioned that ancient Egyptians did not mark vowels. This feature exists in certain languages spoken today, like Arabic, whose writing consists basically consonants. I am told that the name of Col. Gadaffi may be written in 20 different ways!

I always wonder how the life would have been in the far off time. What did they eat? How did they live etc? We never get to know all these. We get some vignettes from these monumental writing. I have taken a sample from the Book of Dead in my presentation.

But such monumental writing is not about common people. The following short pieces, for example, tell us that the common man's attitude to life was not too different from ours today. For example, see how a teacher chides his pupil: 'I know that you frequently abandon your studies and whirl around in pleasure, that you wander from street to street and every house stinks of beer when you leave it ... You, boy! You don't listen when I speak! You are thicker than a tall obelisk 100 cubits high and 10 cubits wide.'

In another place a father gives advantages of white-collar job. He advises him to be diligent in the school if he is to avoid a life of back-breaking manual labour: 'I have seen the smith at his work besides his furnace,' the father declares. 'His fingers are like crocodile skin, and he stinks worse than fish roe.' World has not changed much in these 5000 years!

We have an ongoing debate whether we, Indians, lack a sense of history, whether we have a scientific temper, etc. These questions arise because it is felt that the Westerners seem to possess these. Here is an incident from history. During his Egyptian campaign Napoleon had brought with him between nearly 1000 civilians including 167 scientists, technicians, mathematicians and artists who studied the art, architecture, and culture of Egypt during their 'extended vacation'. The night before the battle he exhorted his troops "Soldiers, from the tops of these pyramids, forty centuries are looking down at you." From 1809-1828, these 'civilians' published a 19-volume work called Description of Egypt. Their observations, drawings and illustrations were circulated throughout Europe and created a tremendous interest in antiquities of Egypt.

It is in this campaign that the Rosetta Stone was found by his soldiers that helped in the deciphering the hieroglyphic script. I have discussed in some detail Rosetta Stone and the Frenchman, Champollion, who finally decoded. What I have not included is the very clue to the unravelling. This clue was in the writing proper names. This had to be done phonetically. (Late you will find in my section on the Chinese writing how hilarious it could be to write foreign names in a language which lack phonetic symbols.) It was found that proper names of persons were written within a border, called cartouche. For example, the Greek names Ptolomy and Cleopatra, which had no Egyptian equivalent, and had to be spelt phonetically. Here they are. On the top we have the name in hieroglyphs and below in Roman letter

The chap, Chompollion, himself was a very remarkable fellow. If you want to know more about this inspiring person, let me know I will give lead.



































































